## SOUTH PLANNING COMMITTEE SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL LETTERS

Date: 26.4.2016

NOTE: This schedule reports only additional letters received before 5pm on the day before committee. Any items received on the day of Committee will be reported verbally to the meeting

| Item No. | Application No. | Originator: |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|
| 6        | 15/04296/FUL    | Objector    |

I strongly object to the planning application for a number of reasons.

- I would like to complain about the severe lack of publicity regarding the application.
- A significant number of houses that will be impacted by this proposal, that are outside this
  road, including those on Conduit Lane, Stretton Close and Ludlow Road. Consideration
  also needs to be given to these residents.
- This nothing more than a stepping stone to push the boundaries and propose an application with larger profits
- Open gates for further development in neighbouring gardens thereby destroying area by piecemeal development leaving an unappealing design of an estate
- No need for extra housing here
- Development is so close the edge of Protection Area of the Oak tree and to properties in Stretton Close and Conduit Lane
- Oak tree could be damaged or killed by encroaching buildings and construction work
- Oak tree is healthy and trees like this are limited in this area especially as a previous healthy oak on boundary of the Ludlow Road/Poolbrook Road/Drive and Avondale Road was reduced to a trunk and similar trimming has happened to an oak in garden of Conduit Lane
- Tree should be viewed from all surrounding streets so that impact assessment can be accurate
- Home to both birds and bats
- Development will put increased pressure on road safety in terms of parking of cars and for pedestrians
- Concerns about drainage system to cope with development as system is already full to capacity and there have been previous blockages. Additional drainage could impact on oak tree roots
- Understood that Government had put policies in place to stop gardens being developed, but this site is not comparable to a brownfield site, instead it should be a green field site and why do such spaces need to be developed as they often destroy the character of the area, the ecosystem and local community. These should be at the heart of local areas and the wider town by improving air quality, reducing flood risks especially here with the slope of Ludlow Heights and provide a haven for wildlife
- The proposal of a few individuals should not be at the cost of the well-being of the majority.

| Item No. | Application No. | Originator: |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|
| 6        | 15/04296/FUL    | Objector    |

## I object to the planning application

- I would like to complain about the severe lack of publicity regarding the application.
- A significant number of houses that will be impacted by this proposal, that are outside this
  road, including those on Conduit Lane, Stretton Close and Ludlow Road. Consideration
  also needs to be given to these residents.
- Destroy this much sought after area with its large rear gardens, green spaces and mature character

- Fail to see why additional properties are required when there have been other recent developments in area that have been designed in groups not in a piece meal effort
- Real concern for the oak tree given that the development would be on edge of Protection Area and backs onto properties in Stretton Close and Conduit Lane
- Need to view tree in Spring and Summer to show its scale and importance in the local landscape
- Tree is home to bat, owls and resting buzzards etc
- Request a full wildlife assessment is completed to ensure that it is not a habitat to other protected species as well
- Concerns regarding increased traffic as route is used by school children walking to school
- Issues with blockages to the drainage sewage system in past due to dense population and the creation of additional drainage/sewage systems could impact on the roots of oak
- Need to consider the importance of the wellbeing of objecting residents and the wildlife/oak tree over the needs of an individual.